UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Illinois

United States of America)
v.) Case No. 20-CR-30027-SMY
ANDREW CRAIG)
Defendant)
ORDER OF DI	ETENTION PENDING TRIAL

ANDREW CRAIG)
Defendant)
ORDER OF I	DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Part	I - Eligibility for Detention
Upon the	
☐ Motion of the Government attorn	ney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
	ourt's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
•	t detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fac	ct and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
	er 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable ion of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person conditions have been met:
(1) the defendant is charged with on	ne of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
	ation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
	maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
	aximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
Controlled Substances Act (21	timum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act apter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
\square (d) any felony if such person h	has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
described in subparagraphs (a)	oh, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal ombination of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not other	wise a crime of violence but involves:
	session of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); son; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
\square (2) the defendant has previously been	en convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local o to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>a</i>	ffense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise and
	ph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
	on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
· / •	ears has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the	he offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Tria	O 472 (Rev. 09/16)	Order	of Detention	Pending Tria
---	---------	-------------	-------	--------------	--------------

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
\square (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
☐ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
☐ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
☐ History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
Significant family or other ties outside the United States

Case 3:20-cr-30027-SMY Document 12 Filed 03/13/20 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #18

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16)	Order of Detention Pending Trial			
Subject Prior fa Prior at Use of Backgr	ilure to appear in court a tempt(s) to evade law en- alias(es) or false docume ound information unknow	n after serving any period of incarceration s ordered forcement nts		
	NS OR FURTHER EXP	LANATION: the Defendant which the Court accepts as knowing and voluntary.		
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention				
for confinement is being held in cust with defense cou- person in charge	in a corrections facility stated pending appeal. Thusel. On order of a contract of a c	of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative eparate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or ne defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation part of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the try must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an eceding.		
Date:	03/13/2020	s/ Gilbert C. Sison		

United States Magistrate Judge